



**THE INSTITUTE OF
Company Secretaries of India**

भारतीय कम्पनी सचिव संस्थान

IN PURSUIT OF PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

Statutory body under an Act of Parliament

www.icsi.edu

**SUPPLEMENT FOR
ECONOMIC, BUSINESS AND COMMERCIAL
LAWS
MODULE 2
PAPER 7**

The study material Economic, Business and Commercial Laws available on the web link: <https://www.icsi.edu/media/webmodules/Economic%20Business%20and%20Commercial%20Laws.pdf>.

The students are advised to read their Study Material along with these updates. These academic updates are to facilitate the students to acquaint themselves with the amendments in the Specific Relief (Amendment) Act, 2018, applicable for June, 2019 Examination.

Lesson 21

Specific Relief Act, 1963

Introduction

The Specific Relief Act, 1963 was enacted to define and amend the law relating to certain kinds of specific relief. It contains provisions, inter alia, specific performance of contracts, contracts not specifically enforceable, parties who may obtain and against whom specific performance may be obtained, etc. It also confers wide discretionary powers upon the courts to decree specific performance and to refuse injunction, etc. As a result of wide discretionary powers, the courts in majority of cases award damages as a general rule and grant specific performance as an exception.

The tremendous economic development since the enactment of the Act have brought in enormous commercial activities in India including foreign direct investments, public private partnerships, public utilities infrastructure developments, etc.; which have prompted extensive reforms in the related laws to facilitate enforcement of contracts, settlement of disputes in speedy manner. It has been felt that the Act is not in tune with the rapid economic growth happening in our country and the expansion of infrastructure activities that are needed for the overall development of the country.

In view of the above, Parliament enacted Specific Relief (Amendment) Act, 2018 and it came into effect from 01 October, 2018 to do away with the wider discretion of courts to grant specific performance and to make specific performance of contract a general rule than exception subject to certain limited grounds and to provide for substituted performance of contracts, where a contract is broken, the party who suffers would be entitled to get the contract performed by a third party or by his own agency and to recover expenses and costs, including compensation from the party who failed to perform his part of contract.

The law relating to specific relief in India is provided in the Specific Relief Act of 1963. The Specific Relief Act, 1963 was enacted to define and amend the law relating to certain kinds of specific relief.

The expression 'specific relief' means a relief in specie. It is a remedy which aims at the exact fulfillment of an obligation.

The Specific Relief Act, 1963 is not exhaustive. It does not consolidate the whole law on the subject. As the Preamble would indicate, it is an Act "to define and amend the law relating to certain kinds of specific relief". It does not purport to lay down the law relating to specific relief in all its ramifications (AIR 1972 SC 1826)

There are other kinds of specific remedy provided for by other enactments e.g. the Transfer of Property Act deals with the specific remedies available to a mortgagor or mortgagee; the Partnership Act deals with the specific remedies like dissolution and accounts as between partners.

Under the Specific Relief Act, 1963, remedies have been divided as specific relief

(Sections 5-35) and preventive relief (Sections 36-42). These are:

- (i) Recovering possession of property (Sections 5-8);
- (ii) Specific performance of contracts (Sections 9-25);
- (iii) Rectification of Instruments (Section 26);
- (iv) Rescission of contracts (Sections 27-30);
- (v) Cancellation of Instruments (Section 31-33);
- (vi) Declaratory decrees (Sections 34-35); and
- (vii) Injunctions (Sections 36-42).

RECOVERING POSSESSION OF PROPERTY

Recovery of specific immovable property

According to Section 5 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963 a person entitled to the possession of specific immovable property may recover it in the manner provided by the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

Suit by person dispossessed of immovable property

Section 6 provides that if any person is dispossessed without his consent of immovable property otherwise than in due course of law, he or any person ***through whom he has been in possession or any person*** claiming through him may, by suit, recover possession thereof, notwithstanding any other title that may be set up in such suit.

No suit under section 6 shall be brought—

- (a) after the expiry of six months from the date of dispossession; or
- (b) against the Government.

No appeal shall lie from any order or decree passed in any suit instituted under section 6, nor shall any review of any such order or decree be allowed.

Section 6 shall not bar any person from suing to establish his title to such property and to recover possession thereof.

Recovery of specific movable property

As per Section 7 a person entitled to the possession of specific movable property may recover it in the manner provided by the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

Explanation 1.—A trustee may sue under this section for the possession of movable property to the beneficial interest in which the person for whom he is trustee is entitled.

Explanation 2.—A special or temporary right to the present possession of movable property is sufficient to support a suit under this section.

Liability of person in possession, not as owner, to deliver to persons entitled to immediate possession

Section 8 provides that any person having the possession or control of a particular article of movable property, of which he is not the owner, may be compelled specifically to deliver it to the person entitled to its immediate possession, in any of the following cases:—

- (a) when the thing claimed is held by the defendant as the agent or trustee of the plaintiff;
- (b) when compensation in money would not afford the plaintiff adequate relief for the loss of the thing claimed;
- (c) when it would be extremely difficult to ascertain the actual damage caused by its loss;
- (d) when the possession of the thing claimed has been wrongfully transferred from the plaintiff.

Explanation.—Unless and until the contrary is proved, the court shall, in respect of any article of movable property claimed under clause (b) or clause (c) above, presume—

- (a) that compensation in money would not afford the plaintiff adequate relief for the loss of the thing claimed, or, as the case may be;
- (b) that it would be extremely difficult to ascertain the actual damage caused by its loss.

SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTS

Defences respecting suits for relief based on contract

According to section 9, where any relief is claimed in respect of a contract, the person against whom the relief is claimed may plead by way of defence any ground which is available to him under any law relating to contracts.

Specific performance in respect of contracts

As per section 10 of the Act, the specific performance of a contract shall be enforced by the court subject to the provisions contained in sub-section (2) of section 11, section 14 and section 16 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963.

Cases in which specific performance of contracts connected with trusts enforceable

Section 11 provides that except as otherwise provided in this Act, specific performance of a **contract shall** be enforced when the act agreed to be done is in the performance wholly or partly of a trust.

A contract made by a trustee in excess of his powers or in breach of trust cannot be specifically enforced.

Specific performance of part of contract

Section 12 deals with specific performance of a part of a contract. Sub-section (1) lays down the general principle that except as otherwise hereinafter provided in this section, the Court shall not direct the specific performance of a part of a contract. Sub-sections (2)-(4) lay down the exceptions to this general rule as follows:

(i) Sub-section 2 says that where a party to a contract is unable to perform the whole of his part of it, but the part which must be left unperformed bears only a small proportion to the whole in value and admits of compensation in money, the Court may, at the suit of the either party, direct the specific performance of so much of the contract as can be performed and award compensation in money for the deficiency.

A contracts to sell B a piece of land consisting of 100 *bighas*. It turns out that 98 *bighas* of the land belongs to A and the two remaining *bighas* to a stranger, who refuses to part with them. The two *bighas* are not necessary for the use or enjoyment of the 98 *bighas*, nor so important for such use or enjoyment that the loss of them may not be made in goods or in money. A may be directed at the suit of B to convey to B the 98 *bighas* and to make compensation to him. For not conveying the two remaining *bighas*; B may be directed at the suit of A, to pay to A, on receiving the conveyance and possession of the land, the stipulated purchase money less the sum awarded as compensation for the deficiency.

(ii) Sub-section 3 lays down that where a party to a contract is unable to perform the whole of his part of it, and the part which must be left unperformed either (a) forms a considerable part of the whole, though admitting of compensation in money; or (b) does not admit of compensation in money; he is not entitled to obtain a decree for specific performance; but the Court may, at the suit of the other party, direct the party in default to perform specifically so much of his part of the contract as he can perform, if the party (i) in a case falling under clause (a), pays or has paid the agreed consideration for the whole of the contract reduced by the consideration for the part which must be left unperformed and in a case falling under clause (b), pays or has paid the consideration for the whole of the contract without any abatement, and (ii) in either case, relinquishes all claims to the performance of the remaining part of the contract and all rights to compensation, either for the deficiency or for the loss or damage sustained by him through the default of the defendant.

For example, A contracts to sell B a piece of land consisting of 100 *bighas* for Rs. 1,00,000. It turns out that only 50 *bighas* of land belong to A. 50 *bighas* are substantial part of the contract. A cannot demand specific performance of the contract but B can demand specific performance to get 50 *bighas* of land from A by paying the full consideration i.e. Rs. 1,00,000.

(iii) Sub-section 4 lays down that when a part of a contract which taken by itself, can and ought to be specifically performed, stands on a separate and independent footing from another part of the same contract which cannot or ought not to be specifically performed, the Court may direct specific performance of the former part.

For the purposes of this section, a party to the contract shall be deemed to be unable to perform the whole of his part of it, if a portion of its subject matter existing at the date of the contract has ceased to exist at the time of its performance.

Rights of purchaser or lessee against person with no title or imperfect title

Section 13 lays down the rights of a purchaser or lessee against the seller or lessor with no title or imperfect title. It lays down that where a person contracts to sell or let certain immovable property having no title or only an imperfect title, the purchaser or lessee (subject to the other provisions of this Chapter), has the following rights, namely:—

(a) if the vendor or lessor has subsequently to the contract acquired any interest in the property, the purchaser or lessee may compel him to make good the contract out of such interest;

(b) where the concurrence of other person is necessary for validating the title, and they are bound to concur at the request of the vendor or lessor, the purchaser or lessee may compel him to procure such concurrence, and when a conveyance by other persons is necessary to validate the title and they are bound to convey at the request of the vendor or lessor, the purchaser or lessee may compel him to procure such conveyance;

(c) where the vendor professes to sell unencumbered property, but the property is mortgaged for an amount not exceeding the purchase money and the vendor has in fact only a right to redeem it, the purchaser may compel him to redeem the mortgage and to obtain a valid discharge, and, where necessary, also a conveyance from the mortgagee;

(d) where the vendor or lessor sues for specific performance of the contract and the suit is dismissed on the ground of his want of title or imperfect title, the defendant has a right to a return of his deposit, if any, with interest thereon, to his costs of the suit, and to a lien for such deposit, interest and costs on the interest, if any, of the vendor or lesser in the property which is the subject-matter of the contract.

Above provisions shall also apply, as far as may be, to contracts for the sale or hire of movable property.

Contracts not specifically enforceable

Section 14 lays down the contracts which cannot be specifically enforced. The following contracts cannot be specifically enforced, namely:—

(a) where a party to the contract has obtained substituted performance of contract in accordance with the provisions of section 20;

(b) a contract, the performance of which involves the performance of a continuous duty which the court cannot supervise;

(c) a contract which is so dependent on the personal qualifications of the parties that the court cannot enforce specific performance of its material terms; and

(d) a contract which is in its nature determinable.

Power of court to engage experts

Section 14A (1) provides that without prejudice to the generality of the provisions contained in the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, in any suit under this Act, where the court considers it necessary to get expert opinion to assist it on any specific issue involved in the suit, it may engage one or more experts and direct to report to it on such issue and may secure attendance of the expert for providing evidence, including production of documents on the issue.

Section 14A (2) lays down that the court may require or direct any person to give relevant information to the expert or to produce, or to provide access to, any relevant documents, goods or other property for his inspection.

As per Section 14A (3) the opinion or report given by the expert shall form part of the record of the suit; and the court, or with the permission of the court any of the parties to the suit, may examine the expert personally in open court on any of the matters referred to him or mentioned in his opinion or report, or as to his opinion or report, or as to the manner in which he has made the inspection.

According to Section 14A (4) the expert shall be entitled to such fee, cost or expense as the court may fix, which shall be payable by the parties in such proportion, and at such time, as the court may direct.

Who may obtain specific performance

Section 15 lays down that the specific performance of a contract may be obtained by—

(a) any party thereto;

(b) the representative in interest or the principal, of any party thereto:

It may be noted that where the learning, skill, solvency or any personal quality of such party is a material ingredient in the contract, or where the contract provides that his interest shall not be assigned, his representative in interest or his principal shall not be entitled to specific performance of the contract, unless such party has already performed his part of the contract, or the performance thereof by his representative in interest, or his principal, has been accepted by the other party.

(c) where the contract is a settlement on marriage, or a compromise of doubtful rights between members of the same family, any person beneficially entitled thereunder;

(d) where the contract has been entered into by a tenant for life in due exercise of a power, the remainder man;

(e) a reversioner in possession, where the agreement is a covenant entered into with his predecessor in title and the reversioner is entitled to the benefit of such covenant;

(f) a reversioner in remainder, where the agreement is such a covenant, and the reversioner is entitled to the benefit thereof and will sustain material injury by reason of its breach;

(fa) when a limited liability partnership has entered into a contract and subsequently becomes amalgamated with another limited liability partnership, the new limited liability partnership which arises out of the amalgamation.

(g) when a company has entered into a contract and subsequently becomes amalgamated with another company, the new company which arises out of the amalgamation;

(h) when the promoters of a company have, before its incorporation, entered into a contract for the purposes of the company, and such contract is warranted by the terms of the incorporation, the company:

Provided that the company has accepted the contract and has communicated such acceptance to the other party to the contract.

Personal bars to relief

According to Section 16 Specific performance of a contract cannot be enforced in favour of a person—

(a) who has obtained substituted performance of contract under section 20; or

(b) who has become incapable of performing, or violates any essential term of, the contract that on his part remains to be performed, or acts in fraud of the contract, or wilfully acts at variance with, or in subversion of, the relation intended to be established by the contract; or

(c) who fails to prove that he has performed or has always been ready and willing to perform the essential terms of the contract which are to be performed by him, other than terms of the performance of which has been prevented or waived by the defendant.

Explanation.—For the purposes of clause (c) above,-

(i) where a contract involves the payment of money, it is not essential for the plaintiff to actually tender to the defendant or to deposit in court any money except when so directed by the court;

*(ii) the plaintiff **must prove** performance of, or readiness and willingness to perform, the contract according to its true construction.*

Contract to sell or let property by one who has no title, not specifically enforceable

As per Section 17, a contract to sell or let any immovable property cannot be specifically enforced in favour of a vendor or lessor—

(a) who, knowing himself not to have any title to the property, has contracted to sell or let the property;

(b) who, though he entered into the contract believing that he had a good title to the property, cannot at the time fixed by the parties or by the court for the completion of the sale or letting, give the purchaser or lessee a title free from reasonable doubt.

The above provisions shall also apply, as far as may be, to contracts for the sale or hire of movable property.

Non-enforcement except with variation

Where a plaintiff seeks specific performance of a contract in writing, to which the defendant sets up a variation, the plaintiff cannot obtain the performance sought, except with the variation so set up, in the following cases, namely:—

(a) where by fraud, mistake of fact or misrepresentation, the written contract of which performance is sought is in its terms or effect different from what the parties agreed to, or does not contain all the terms agreed to between the parties on the basis of which the defendant entered into the contract;

(b) where the object of the parties was to produce a certain legal result which the contract as framed is not calculated to produce;

(c) where the parties have, subsequently to the execution of the contract, varied its terms.

Relief against parties and persons claiming under them by subsequent title

Section 19 lays down that specific performance of a contract may be enforced against—

(a) either party thereto;

(b) any other person claiming under him by a title arising subsequently to the contract, except a transferee for value who has paid his money in good faith and without notice of the original contract;

(c) any person claiming under a title which, though prior to the contract and known to the plaintiff, might have been displaced by the defendant;

(ca) when a limited liability partnership has entered into a contract and subsequently becomes amalgamated with another limited liability partnership, the new limited liability partnership which arises out of the amalgamation.

(d) when a company has entered into a contract and subsequently becomes amalgamated with another company, the new company which arises out of the amalgamation;

(e) when the promoters of a company have, before its incorporation, entered into a contract for the purpose of the company and such contract is warranted by the terms of the incorporation, the company. It may be noted that the company has accepted the contract and communicated such acceptance to the other party to the contract.

Substituted performance of contracts, etc.

Section 20(1) lays down that without prejudice to the generality of the provisions contained in the Indian Contract Act, 1872, and, except as otherwise agreed upon by the parties, where the contract is broken due to non-performance of promise by any party, the party who suffers by such breach shall have the option of substituted performance through a third party or by his own agency, and, recover the expenses and other costs actually incurred, spent or suffered by him, from the party committing such breach.

According to section 20(2), No substituted performance of contract under above sub-section (1) shall be undertaken unless the party who suffers such breach has given a notice in writing, of not less than thirty days, to the party in breach calling upon him to perform the contract within such time as specified in the notice, and on his refusal or failure to do so, he may get the same performed by a third party or by his own agency.

However, the party who suffers such breach shall not be entitled to recover the expenses and costs under sub-section (1) unless he has got the contract performed through a third party or by his own agency.

Section 20(3) provides that where the party suffering breach of contract has got the contract performed through a third party or by his own agency after giving notice under sub-section (1), he shall not be entitled to claim relief of specific performance against the party in breach.

Section 20 shall not prevent the party who has suffered breach of contract from claiming compensation from the party in breach.

Special provisions for contract relating to infrastructure project

Section 20A lays down Special provisions for contract relating to infrastructure project. According to section 20A(1), No injunction shall be granted by a court in a suit under this Act involving a contract relating to an infrastructure project specified in the Schedule, where granting injunction would cause impediment or delay in the progress or completion of such infrastructure project.

As per Section 20A(2), the Central Government may, depending upon the requirement for development of infrastructure projects, and if it considers necessary or expedient to do so, by notification in the Official Gazette, amend the Schedule relating to any Category of projects or Infrastructure Sub-Sectors.

Section 20A(3) provides that every notification issued under the Act by the Central Government shall be laid, as soon as may be after it is issued, before each House of Parliament, while it is in session, for a total period of thirty days which may be comprised in one session or in two or more successive sessions, and if, before the expiry of the session immediately following the session or the successive sessions aforesaid, both Houses agree in making any modification in

the notification or both Houses agree that the notification should not be made, the notification shall thereafter have effect only in such modified form or be of no effect, as the case may be; so, however, that any such modification or annulment shall be without prejudice to the validity of anything previously done under that notification

Special Courts

Section 20B empowers the State Government, in consultation with the Chief Justice of the High Court, shall designate, by notification published in the Official Gazette, one or more Civil Courts as Special Courts, within the local limits of the area to exercise jurisdiction and to try a suit under this Act in respect of contracts relating to infrastructure projects

Expeditious disposal of suits

Section 20C states that notwithstanding anything contained in the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, a suit filed under the provisions of this Act shall be disposed of by the court within a period of twelve months from the date of service of summons to the defendant:

The above stated period may be extended for a further period not exceeding six months in aggregate after recording reasons in writing for such extension by the court.

Power to award compensation in certain cases

Under section 21 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963, the Court is empowered to award compensation in certain cases. They are as follows:

- (1) In a suit for specific performance of a contract, the plaintiff may also claim compensation for its breach, *in addition to*, such performance.
- (2) If, in any such suit, the court decides that specific performance ought not to be granted, but that there is a contract between the parties which has been broken by the defendant, and that the plaintiff is entitled to compensation for that breach, it shall award him such compensation accordingly.
- (3) If, in any such suit, the court decides that specific performance ought to be granted, but that it is not sufficient to satisfy the justice of the case, and that some compensation for breach of the contract should also be made to the plaintiff, it shall award him such compensation accordingly.
- (4) In determining the amount of any compensation awarded under this section, the court shall be guided by the principles specified in section 73 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
- (5) No compensation shall be awarded under this section unless the plaintiff has claimed such compensation in his plaint. However, where the plaintiff has not claimed any such

compensation in the plaint, the court shall, at any stage of the proceeding, allow him to amend the plaint on such terms as may be just, for including a claim for such compensation. Even if the contract has become incapable of specific performance does not preclude the court from exercising the jurisdiction conferred by this section.

Power to grant relief for possession, partition, refund of earnest money, etc

Section 22 gives power to grant relief for possession, partition, refund of earnest money, etc. Under section 22(1) any person suing for the specific performance of a contract for the transfer of immovable property may, in an appropriate case, ask for —

(a) possession, or partition and separate possession, of the property in addition to such performance; or

(b) any other relief to which he may be entitled, including the refund of any earnest money or deposit paid or made by him, in case his claim for specific performance is refused.

No relief under clause (a) or clause (b) of sub-section (1) shall be granted by the court unless it has been specifically claimed. Where the plaintiff has not claimed any such relief in the plaint, the court shall, at any stage of the proceeding, allow him to amend the plaint on such terms as may be just for including a claim for such relief.

The power of the court to grant relief under clause (b) of sub-section (1) shall be without prejudice to its powers to award compensation under section 21.

Liquidation of damages not a bar to specific performance

According to Section 23, a contract, otherwise proper to be specifically enforced, may be so enforced, though a sum be named in it as the amount to be paid in case of its breach and the party in default is willing to pay the same, if the court, having regard to the terms of the contract and other attending circumstances, is satisfied that the sum was named only for the purpose of securing performance of the contract and not for the purpose of giving to the party in default an option of paying money in lieu of specific performance. When enforcing specific performance, the court shall not also decree payment of the sum so named in the contract.

Bar of suit for compensation for breach after dismissal of suit for specific performance

Section 24 provides that the dismissal of a suit for specific performance of a contract or part thereof shall bar the plaintiff's right to sue for compensation for the breach of such contract or part, as the case may be, but shall not bar his right to sue for any other relief to which he may be entitled, by reason of such breach.

Enforcement of awards and directions to execute settlements

RECTIFICATION OF INSTRUMENTS

Rectification means correction of an error in an instrument in order to give effect to the real intention of the parties. Section 26 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963 contains the law as to rectification of instruments.

Section 26 (1) provides that when, through fraud or a mutual mistake of the parties a contract or other instrument in writing not being the articles of association of a company to which the Companies Act, applies does not express their real intention, then —

(a) either party or his representative in interest may institute a suit to have the instrument rectified; or

(b) the plaintiff may, in any suit in which any right arising under the instrument is in issue, claim in his pleading that the instrument be rectified; or

(c) a defendant in any such suit as is referred to in clause (b), may, in addition to any other defence open to him, ask for rectification of the instrument.

If, in any suit in which a contract or other instrument is sought to be rectified, the court finds that the instrument, through fraud or mistake, does not express the real intention of the parties, the court may in its discretion, direct rectification of the instrument so as to express that intention, so far as this can be done without prejudice to rights acquired by third persons in good faith and for value.

A contract in writing may first be rectified, and then if the party claiming rectification has so prayed in his pleading and the court thinks fit, may be specifically enforced.

Relief for the rectification of an instrument shall not be granted to any party under this section unless it has been specifically claimed. Where a party has not claimed any such relief in his pleading, the court shall, at any stage of the proceeding, allow him to amend the pleading on such terms as may be just for including such claim.

RESCISSION OF CONTRACTS

Section 27 deals with when rescission may be adjudged or refused. “Rescission” means putting an end to a contract which is still operative and making it null and void *ab initio*. It does not apply to void contracts.

Section 27(1) states that any person interested in a contract may sue to have it rescinded, and such rescission may be adjudged by the court in any of the following cases, namely: —

(a) where the contract is voidable or terminable by the plaintiff;

(b) where the contract is unlawful for causes not apparent on its face and the defendant is more to blame than the plaintiff.

As per Section 27(2) the court may refuse to rescind the contract—

(a) where the plaintiff has expressly or impliedly ratified the contract; or

(b) where, owing to the change of circumstances which has taken place since the making of the contract (not being due to any act of the defendant himself), the parties cannot be substantially restored to the position in which they stood when the contract was made; or

(c) where third parties have, during the subsistence of the contract, acquired rights in good faith without notice and for value; or

(d) where only a part of the contract is sought to be rescinded and such part is not severable from the rest of the contract.

Rescission in certain circumstances of contracts for the sale or lease of immovable property, the specific performance of which has been decreed

Section 28(1) provides that where in any suit a decree for specific performance of a contract for the sale or lease of immovable property has been made and the purchaser or lessee does not, within the period allowed by the decree or such further period as the court may allow, pay the purchase money or other sum which the court has ordered him to pay, the vendor or lessor may apply in the same suit in which the decree is made, to have the contract rescinded and on such application the court may, by order, rescind the contract either so far as regards the party in default or altogether, as the justice of the case may require.

As per Section 28 (2), where a contract is rescinded under sub-section (1), the Court—

(a) shall direct the purchaser or the lessee, if he has obtained possession of the property under the contract, to restore such possession to the vendor or lessor; and

(b) may direct payment to the vendor or lessor of all the rents and profits which have accrued in respect of the property from the date on which possession was so obtained by the purchaser or lessee until restoration of possession to the vendor or lessor, and, if the justice of the case so requires, the refund of any sum paid by the vendee or the lessee as earnest money or deposit in connection with the contract.

According to Section 28(3), if the purchase or lessee pays the purchase money or other sum which he is ordered to pay under the decree within the period referred to in sub-section (1), the court may, on application made in the same suit, award the purchaser or lessee such further relief as he may be entitled to, including in appropriate cases all or any of the following reliefs, namely:—

(a) the execution of a proper conveyance or lease by the vendor or lessor;

(b) the delivery of possession, or partition and separate possession, of the property on the execution of such conveyance or lease.

Separate suit in respect of any relief which may be claimed shall not lie at the instance of a vendor, purchaser, lessor or lessee, as the case may be. The costs of any proceedings under this section shall be in the discretion of the court.

Alternative prayer for rescission in suit for specific performance

Section 29 of the Act, provides that a plaintiff instituting a suit for the specific performance of a contract in writing may pray in the alternative that, if the contract cannot be specifically enforced, it may be rescinded and delivered up to be cancelled; and the court, if it refuses to enforce the contract specifically, may direct it to be rescinded and delivered up accordingly.

Court may require parties rescinding to do equity

According to Section 30, on adjudging the rescission of a contract, the court may require the party to whom such relief is granted to restore, so far as may be, any benefit which he may have received from the other party and to make any compensation to him which justice may require.

CANCELLATION OF INSTRUMENTS

Section 31 dealing with when cancellation may be ordered. Section 31(1) provides that any person against whom a written instrument is void or voidable, and who has reasonable apprehension that such instrument, if left outstanding may cause him serious injury, may sue to have it adjudged void or voidable; and the court may, in its discretion, so adjudge it and order it to be delivered up and cancelled.

As per Section 30(2), if the instrument has been registered under the Indian Registration Act, 1908, the court shall also send a copy of its decree to the officer in whose office the instrument has been so registered; and such officer shall note on the copy of the instrument contained in his books the fact of its cancellation.

What instruments may be partially cancelled

According to Section 32 of the Act, where an instrument is evidence of different rights or different obligations, the court may, in a proper case, cancel it in part and allow it to stand for the residue.

Power to require benefit to be restored or compensation to be made when instrument is cancelled or is successfully resisted as being void or voidable

Section 33 provides that on adjudging the cancellation of an instrument, the court may require the party to whom such relief is granted, to restore, so far as may be any benefit

which he may have received from the other party and to make any compensation to him which justice may require.

Where a defendant successfully resists any suit on the ground—

(a) that the instrument sought to be enforced against him in the suit is voidable, the court may if the defendant has received any benefit under the instrument from the other party, require him to restore, so far as may be, such benefit to that party or to make compensation for it;

(b) that the agreement sought to be enforced against him in the suit is void by reason of his not having been competent to contract under section 11 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, the court may, if the defendant has received any benefit under the agreement from the other party, require him to restore, so far as may be, such benefit to that party, to the extent to which he or his estate has benefited thereby

DECLARATORY DECREES

A declaratory decree is a decree whereby any right as to any property or the legal character of a person is judicially ascertained.

The Supreme Court in *State of Madhya Pradesh v. Mangilal Sharma, 1997 (7) SCALE 783*, held that a declaratory decree merely declares the right of the decreeholder vis-a-vis the judgement debtor and does not in terms direct the judgement debtor to do or refrain from doing any particular act or thing. It cannot be executed as it only declares the rights of the decree-holder qua the judgement debtor and does not, in terms, direct him to do or refrain from doing any particular act or thing

Discretion of court as to declaration of status or right

Section 34 lays down that any person entitled to any legal character, or to any right as to any property, may institute a suit against any person denying, or interested to deny, his title to such character or right, and the court may in its discretion make therein a declaration that he is so entitled, and the plaintiff need not in such suit ask for any further relief:

It may be noted that court shall not make any such declaration where the plaintiff, being able to seek further relief than a mere declaration of title, omits to do so.

Effect of declaration

According to Section 35 of the Act, a declaration made is binding only on the parties to the suit, persons claiming through them respectively, and, where any of the parties are trustees, on the persons for whom, if in existence at the date of the declaration, such parties would be trustees.

PREVENTIVE RELIEF

Part III of the Specific Relief Act, 1963 grants specific relief called Preventive Relief i.e., preventing a party from doing that which he is under an obligation not to do. Preventive relief is granted at the discretion of the court by way of an injunction.

An injunction is a specific order of the Court forbidding the commission of a wrong threatened or the continuance of a wrongful course of action already begun, or in some cases (when it is called a 'mandatory injunction') commanding active restitution of the former state of things.

Lord Halsbury defines injunction as "a judicial process whereby a party is ordered to refrain from doing or to do a particular act or thing".

The main difference between an injunction and specific performance is that the remedy in case of an injunction is generally directed to prevent the violation of a negative act and therefore deals not only with contracts but also with torts and many other subjects of purely equitable one, whereas specific performance is directed to compelling performance of an active duty.

It is known as a "judicial process by which one, who has invaded or is threatening to invade the rights (legal or equitable) of another is restrained from continuing or commencing such wrongful act. Injunction is the most ordinary form of preventive relief. For the effective administration of justice, this power to prevent and to restrain is absolutely necessary.

Characteristics of an injunction

An injunction has three characteristic features;

- (a) It is a judicial process.
- (b) The object of this judicial process is to restrain or to prevent.
- (c) The act restrained or prevented is a wrongful act. An injunction acts or operates always in *personam*.

If the wrongful act has already taken place, the injunction prevents its repetition. If it is merely threatened, the threat is prevented from being executed.

Injunctions Generally

As per Section 36 of the Act, preventive relief is granted at the discretion of the court by injunction, temporary or perpetual.

Temporary and perpetual injunctions

(1) Temporary injunctions are such as are to continue until a specific time, or until the further order of the court, and they may be granted at any stage of a suit, and are regulated by the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

(2) A perpetual injunction can only be granted by the decree made at the hearing and upon the merits of the suit; the defendant is thereby perpetually enjoined from the assertion of a right, or from the commission of an act, which would be contrary to the rights of the plaintiff.

PERPETUAL INJUNCTIONS

Specific performance is decreed to compel the performance of an active duty, while injunction is decreed to prevent the violation of a negative duty. Normally, the former deals with contracts, while the latter with torts and other subjects of equitable nature. If a contract is positive in its nature, it calls for the relief of specific performance, on the other hand, if it is negative in its nature, it calls for relief of injunction.

The principle governing the award of injunction as a mode of enforcement of contracts is similar to that of specific performance. This is clearly borne out by Section 38(2) of the Act. Thus, the enforcement of a contract is governed by both specific relief and injunction. "The jurisdiction of equity to grant such injunction is substantially coexistent with its jurisdiction to compel a specific performance". But still their fields of operation are separate from each other. While a promise to do is enforced by specific performance, a promise to forbear is enforced by injunction. Section 41(e) further provides that contract which will not be affirmatively enforced by a decree of specific performance, will not be negatively enforced by issuing an injunction. The only exception to this rule is found in Section 42.

Perpetual injunction when granted

According to Section 38 states that a perpetual injunction may be granted to the plaintiff to prevent the breach of an obligation existing in his favour, whether expressly or by implication. When any such obligation arises from contract, the court shall be guided by the rules and provisions contained in Chapter II.

When the defendant invades or threatens to invade the plaintiff's right to, or enjoyment of, property, the court may grant a perpetual injunction in the following cases, namely:—

- (a) where the defendant is trustee of the property for the plaintiff;
- (b) where there exists no standard for ascertaining the actual damage caused, or likely to be caused, by the invasion;
- (c) where the invasion is such that compensation in money would not afford adequate relief;
- (d) where the injunction is necessary to prevent a multiplicity of judicial proceedings.

Mandatory injunctions

As per Section 39 of the Act, when, to prevent the breach of an obligation, it is necessary to compel the performance of certain acts which the court is capable of enforcing, the court may in its discretion grant an injunction to prevent the breach complained of, and also to compel performance of the requisite acts.

Damages in lieu of, or in addition to, injunction

Section 40 dealing with damages in lieu of, or in addition to, injunction. It states that the plaintiff in a suit for perpetual injunction under section 38, or mandatory injunction under section 39, may claim damages either in addition to, or in substitution for, such injunction and the court may, if it thinks fit, award such damages.

Relief for damages shall not be granted unless the plaintiff has claimed such relief in his plaint. Where no such damages have been claimed in the plaint, the court shall, at any stage of the proceedings, allow the plaintiff to amend the plaint on such terms as may be just for including such claim.

The dismissal of a suit to prevent the breach of an obligation existing in favour of the plaintiff shall bar his right to sue for damages for such breach.

Injunction when refused

Section 41 gives a list of cases when injunction cannot be granted. It says that an injunction cannot be granted —

- (a) to restrain any person from prosecuting a judicial proceeding pending at the institution of the suit in which the injunction is sought, unless such restraint is necessary to prevent a multiplicity of proceedings;
- (b) to restrain any person from instituting or prosecuting any proceeding in a court not subordinate to that from which the injunction is sought;
- (c) to restrain any person from applying to any legislative body;
- (d) to restrain any person from instituting or prosecuting any proceeding in a criminal matter;
- (e) to prevent the breach of a contract the performance of which would not be specifically enforced;
- (f) to prevent, on the ground of nuisance, an act of which it is not reasonably clear that it will be a nuisance;
- (g) to prevent a continuing breach in which the plaintiff has acquiesced;
- (h) when equally efficacious relief can certainly be obtained by any other usual mode of proceeding except in case of breach of trust;
- (ha) if it would impede or delay the progress or completion of any infrastructure project or interfere with the continued provision of relevant facility related thereto or services being the subject matter of such project.*
- (i) when the conduct of the plaintiff or his agents has been such as to disentitle him to be the assistance of the court;
- (j) when the plaintiff has no personal interest in the matter.

Injunction to perform negative agreement

Section 42 of the Act provides that notwithstanding anything contained in clause (e) of section 41, where a contract comprises an affirmative agreement to do a certain act, coupled with a negative agreement, express or implied, not to do a certain act, the circumstance that the court is unable to compel specific performance of the affirmative agreement shall not preclude it from granting an injunction to perform the negative agreement. It may be noted that the plaintiff has not failed to perform the contract so far as it is binding on him.

THE SCHEDULE [sections 20A and 41 (ha)] Category of projects and Infrastructure Sub-Sectors		
Sl No.	category	Infrastructure Sub-Sectors
1	Transport	(a) Road and bridges (b) Ports (including Capital Dredging) (c) Shipyards (including a floating or land-based facility with the essential features of waterfront, turning basin, berthing and docking facility, slipways or ship lifts, and which is self-sufficient for carrying on shipbuilding/repair/breaking activities) (d) Inland Waterways (e) Airports (f) Railway Track, tunnels, viaducts, bridges, terminal infrastructure including stations and adjoining commercial infrastructure (g) Urban Public Transport (except rolling stock in case of urban road transport)
2	Energy	(a) Electricity Generation (b) Electricity Transmission (c) Electricity Distribution (d) Oil pipelines (e) Oil/Gas/Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) storage facility (including strategic storage of crude oil) (f) Gas pipelines (including city gas distribution network)

3	Water and Sanitation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Solid Waste Management (b) Water supply pipelines (c) Water treatment plants (d) Sewage collection, treatment and disposal system (e) Irrigation (dams, channels, embankments, etc.) (f) Storm Water Drainage System (g) Slurry pipelines
4	Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Telecommunication (Fixed network including opticfibre/wire/cable networks which provide broadband/internet) (b) Telecommunication towers (c) Telecommunications and Telecom Services
5	Social and Commercial Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Education Institutions (capital stock) (b) Sports infrastructure (including provision of Sports Stadia and Infrastructure for Academies for Training/ Research in Sports and Sports-relating activities) (c) Hospitals (capital stock including Medical Colleges, Para Medical Training Institutes and Diagnostic Centres) (d) Tourism infrastructure viz.(i) three-star or higher category classified hotels located outside cities with population of more than one million; (ii) ropeways and cable cars (e) Common infrastructure for industrial parks and other parks with industrial activity such as food parks, textile parks, Special Economic Zones, tourism facilities and agriculture markets (f) Post-harvest storage infrastructure for agriculture and horticulture produce including cold storage (g) Terminal markets (h) Soil-testing laboratories (i) Cold chain (including cold room facility for farm level pre-cooling, for preservation or storage of

		<p>agriculture and allied produce, marine products and meat)</p> <p>(j) Affordable Housing (including a housing project using at least 50% of the Floor Area Ratio (FAR)/ Floor Space Index (FSI) for dwelling units with carpet area of not more than 60 square meters</p> <p>Explanation.—For the purposes of this sub-clause, the term “carpet area” shall have the same meaning as assigned to it in clause (k) of section 2 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (16 of 2016).</p>
--	--	--
