

**OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)  
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India  
ICSI House, 22 Institutional Area, Lodi Road  
New Delhi

Appeal No. 09/2016

IN THE MATTER OF :

C J Mathew  
5B, Trinity Crown  
Trinity Apartments  
Edapally  
Kochi-682 024

Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer  
The Institute of Company Secretaries of India  
'ICSI House'  
22, Institutional Area, Lodi road  
New Delhi – 110 003

Respondent

**Date of Order : 20<sup>th</sup> October, 2016**

**ORDER**


1. The appellant had filed an appeal dated 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 received in this office on 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as "RTI Act") in response to the information supplied vide letter bearing No.RTI 2005/1685/(16) dated 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 by the Central Public Information Officer (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent") of The Institute of Company Secretaries of India, ICSI House, 22, Institutional Area, Lodi Road, New Delhi-110 003.
2. I have carefully considered the application, the response, the appeal and the records made available to me and I find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
3. In this appeal, the appellant has stated that the copy of share transfer deed as provided vide letter No.RTI 2005/1685/(16) dated 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 is not certified.



-: 2 :-

4. The appellant has further stated that the CPIO has denied the information as the information was already provided to the appellant vide letter No. RTI 2005/1559/(15) dated 6<sup>th</sup> October, 2015.
5. The respondent has informed that the requisite information/ documents contains four Pages can be furnished to the appellant on remitting an amount of Rs.8/- (@Rs.2 Per page) as per the RTI Rules, 2012 by way of Indian Postal Order or Cheque at par or Demand Draft in favour of "The Institute of Company Secretaries of India" payable at New Delhi.
6. This Office concur with the past decisions of the Central Information Commissioner that repetition of the same RTI query wherein information has already been provided involves diversion of resources, the public authorities would lose that much time to address the other RTI applications or performing their general duties in their public office.
7. Notwithstanding the observation of this office at Sl.No.6 above, since the appellant had requested for certified copies of the information/ documents, the respondent is directed to provide the certified copies.
8. The appellant may remit the requisite fee demanded by the respondent at Sl.No.5 above to enable respondent to furnish the requisite information/ documents.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

  
(Ankur Yadav)  
First Appellate Authority

Copy to :

- |                   |   |
|-------------------|---|
| By Speed Post :1. | Mr. C J Mathew<br>5B, Trinity Crown<br>Trinity Apartments<br>Edayapally<br>Kochi-682 024  |
| By Hand 2.        | Mr. Ashok Kumar Dixit<br>Central Public Information Officer<br>The Institute of Company Secretaries of India<br>ICSI House, 22 Institutional Area<br>Lodi Road<br>New Delhi - 110 003 |
| By Email 3.       | For publishing on the website.  |